# **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM 10-O

(Mark One)	. 10-Q
(Mark One) [X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 OF 1934	3 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
For the quarterly period	d ended June 30, 2010
OF [ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 1934	
For the transition period from	to
Commission File I	Number 1-16417
NUSTAR EN (Exact name of registrant a	
(Exact name of registrant a	is specified in its charter)
Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	74-2956831 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2330 North Loop 1604 West San Antonio, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)	78248 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, inc	cluding area code (210) 918-2000
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed a Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to suc No	months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and pot this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shoots such files). Yes <u>X</u> No	sted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acc or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of " reporting company" in Rule12b-2 of the Exchange Act:	
Large accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting	Accelerated filer g company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

The number of common units outstanding as of July 31, 2010 was 64,610,549.

Yes \_\_\_\_ No\_X

# NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES FORM 10-Q

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<del>(</del>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	47
PART 1	II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	48
Item 6.	Exhibits	49
SIGNA'	TURES	54

# PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

# NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit Data)

	June 30, <u>2010</u> (Unaudited)	December 31, <u>2009</u>
Assets	(	
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 34,554	\$ 62,006
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,338		
and \$1,351 as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	283,969	211,797
Inventories	577,905	387,794
Other current assets	45,348	73,122
Total current assets	941,776	<u>734,719</u>
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	3,849,441	3,721,904
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(760,298)	<u>(693,708</u> )
Property, plant and equipment, net	3,089,143	3,028,196
Intangible assets, net	49,131	44,127
Goodwill	812,172	807,742
Investment in joint venture	68,795	68,728
Deferred income tax asset	8,626	13,893
Other long-term assets, net	81,023	77,268
Total assets	\$ <u>5,050,666</u>	\$ <u>4,774,673</u>
Liabilities and Partners' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 770	\$ 770
Accounts payable	294,194	205,605
Payable to related party	24,298	10,639
Notes payable	-	20,000
Accrued interest payable	21,551	21,529
Accrued liabilities	32,886	64,651
Taxes other than income tax	12,307	15,534
Income tax payable	1,887	26
Total current liabilities	387,893	338,754
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,845,506	1,828,993
Long-term payable to related party	8,975	7,663
Deferred income tax liability	28,485	26,909
Other long-term liabilities	84,899	87,386
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Partners' equity:		
Limited partners (64,610,549 and 60,210,549 common units		
outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)	2,636,632	2,423,689
General partner	58,242	53,469
Accumulated other comprehensive income	34	7,810
Total partners' equity	2,694,908	2,484,968
Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$ <u>5,050,666</u>	\$ <u>4,774,673</u>

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Revenues:				
Service revenues	\$ 195,087	\$ 176,042	\$ 384,382	\$ 358,694
Product sales	929,854	811,800	<u>1,686,088</u>	1,263,152
Total revenues	1,124,941	987,842	2,070,470	1,621,846
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales	842,588	731,861	1,561,809	1,148,656
Operating expenses:				
Third parties	85,868	79,370	173,361	151,932
Related party	34,075	31,135	67,919	61,895
Total operating expenses	119,943	110,505	241,280	213,827
General and administrative expenses:				
Third parties	8,875	9,826	18,906	17,422
Related party	13,320	16,026	30,558	30,894
Total general and administrative expenses	22,195	25,852	49,464	48,316
Depreciation and amortization expense	38,185	35,548	76,114	71,537
Total costs and expenses	1,022,911	903,766	<u>1,928,667</u>	<u>1,482,336</u>
Operating income	102,030	84,076	141,803	139,510
Equity in earnings of joint venture	2,102	3,011	5,117	5,324
Interest expense, net	(18,890)	(20,265)	(37,476)	(40,735)
Other income, net	14,816	19,240	15,117	27,844
Income before income tax expense	100,058	86,062	124,561	131,943
Income tax expense	636	2,327	5,436	8,853
Net income	\$ <u>99,422</u>	\$ <u>83,735</u>	\$ <u>119,125</u>	\$ <u>123,090</u>
Net income per unit applicable to limited				
partners (Note 11)	\$1.43	\$ <u>1.38</u>	\$ <u>1.64</u>	\$ <u>1.96</u>
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding	62,289,670	<u>54,460,549</u>	61,255,853	<u>54,460,549</u>

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 2009 **Cash Flows from Operating Activities:** Net income ..... \$ 119,125 \$ 123,090 Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization expense..... 76,114 71,537 Amortization of debt related items (3,868)(3,461)Loss (gain) on sale or disposition of assets ..... 688 (21,050)Deferred income tax (benefit) expense..... (2,301)1,065 Equity in earnings of joint venture..... (5,117)(5,324)Distributions of equity in earnings of joint venture..... 4,000 5,050 Changes in current assets and current liabilities (Note 12) ...... (175,515)(239,137)Other, net (523)642 Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities..... 13,653 (68,638)**Cash Flows from Investing Activities:** Reliability capital expenditures..... (21,262)(16,491)Strategic capital expenditures..... (52,516)(96,423)Acquisition ..... (43,026)Proceeds from sale or disposition of assets..... 29,151 157 Proceeds from insurance claims.... 13,500 10,162 Investment in other long-term assets..... (3,224)Net cash used in investing activities..... (150,278)(29.694)**Cash Flows from Financing Activities:** Proceeds from long-term debt borrowings.... 671,355 588,189 Proceeds from short-term debt borrowings ..... 175,791 189,600 Long-term debt repayments..... (340,922)(636,633)Short-term debt repayments ..... (195,791)(191,720)Proceeds from issuance of common units, net of issuance costs..... 240,297 Contributions from general partner 5.078 Distributions to unitholders and general partner ..... (146,784)(131,676)Decrease in cash book overdrafts..... (5,511)(8,508)Net cash provided by financing activities..... 107,802 104,963 Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash ..... 1,371 2,719

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents.....

Cash and cash equivalents as of the beginning of the period ......

Cash and cash equivalents as of the end of the period ......

9,350

45,375

\$ 54,725

(27,452)

62,006

\$ \_ 34,554

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### **Organization**

NuStar Energy L.P. (NuStar Energy) (NYSE: NS) is engaged in the terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and asphalt and fuels marketing. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "NuStar Energy," "the Partnership," "we," "our" and "us" are used in this report to refer to NuStar Energy L.P., to one or more of our consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole. NuStar GP Holdings, LLC (NuStar GP Holdings) (NYSE: NSH) wholly owns our general partner, Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., and owns a 17.5% total interest in us as of June 30, 2010.

We conduct our operations through our wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily NuStar Logistics, L.P. (NuStar Logistics) and NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P. (NuPOP). We have three business segments: storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing.

#### Basis of Presentation

These unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership and subsidiaries in which the Partnership has a controlling interest. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. We account for investments in 50% or less-owned entities using the equity method.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and all disclosures made are adequate. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. Financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 included in these Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

#### Reclassifications

Certain previously reported amounts in the 2009 audited consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation.

#### Acquisition

On May 21, 2010, we acquired the capital stock of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. for \$44.1 million. The acquisition includes three storage terminals with 24 storage tanks and an aggregate capacity of approximately 1.8 million barrels located in Alabama along the Mobile River. The acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. was accounted for using the acquisition method. The purchase price has been preliminarily allocated based on the estimated fair values of the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition pending completion of an independent appraisal and other evaluations. The consolidated statements of income include the results of operations for Asphalt Holdings, Inc. commencing on May 21, 2010.

#### 2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

## Fair Value Measurements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued additional guidance that requires new disclosures regarding significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and additional information on the roll forward of Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance also clarified the existing provisions on determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities to be reported and disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. This additional guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the new requirements in the Level 3 roll forward, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. We adopted these provisions effective January 1, 2010, and they did not have a

material impact on our disclosures. We do not expect the new requirements related to the Level 3 roll forward to have an impact on our disclosures.

#### 3. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	June 30, <u>2010</u>	December 31, <u>2009</u>
	(Thousand	s of Dollars)
Crude oil	\$ 140,158	\$ 74,250
Finished products	427,586	302,980
Materials and supplies	10,161	10,564
Total	\$ <u>577,905</u>	\$ <u>387,794</u>

#### 4. DEBT

#### Revolving Credit Agreement

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we borrowed an aggregate \$671.4 million under our \$1.2 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement) to fund a portion of our capital expenditures and working capital requirements. Additionally, we repaid \$636.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement bears interest based on either an alternative base rate or a LIBOR based rate, which was 0.9% as of June 30, 2010. We had \$606.1 million available for borrowing under the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement as of June 30, 2010. Due to a covenant in our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement that requires us to maintain, as of the end of each four consecutive fiscal quarters, a consolidated debt coverage ratio not to exceed 5.00-to-1.00, we may not be able to borrow the maximum available amount. As of June 30, 2010, the consolidated debt coverage ratio was 4.5x.

#### Lines of Credit

As of June 30, 2010, we had one short-term line of credit with an uncommitted borrowing capacity of up to \$20.0 million. We had no outstanding borrowings on this line of credit as of June 30, 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we borrowed \$175.8 million and repaid \$195.8 million related to this line of credit.

#### 5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### **Contingencies**

We have contingent liabilities resulting from various litigation, claims and commitments, the most significant of which are discussed below. We record accruals for loss contingencies when losses are considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Legal fees associated with defending the Partnership in legal matters are expensed as incurred. As of June 30, 2010, we have accrued \$0.3 million related to settled matters and \$73.3 million for contingent losses. The amount that will ultimately be paid related to these matters may differ from the recorded accruals, and the timing of such payments is uncertain.

Grace Energy Corporation Matter. In 1997, Grace Energy Corporation (Grace Energy) sued subsidiaries of Kaneb Pipeline Partners, L.P. (KPP) and Kaneb Services LLC (KSL and collectively with KPP and their respective subsidiaries, Kaneb) in Texas state court. We acquired Kaneb on July 1, 2005. The complaint sought recovery of the cost of remediation of fuel leaks in the 1970s from a pipeline that had once connected a former Grace Energy terminal with Otis Air Force Base in Massachusetts (Otis AFB). Grace Energy alleges the Otis AFB pipeline and related environmental liabilities had been transferred in 1978 to an entity that was part of Kaneb's acquisition of Support Terminal Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries from Grace Energy in 1993. Kaneb contends that it did not acquire the Otis AFB pipeline and never assumed any responsibility for any associated environmental damage.

In 2000, the court entered final judgment that: (i) Grace Energy could not recover its own remediation costs of \$3.5 million, (ii) Kaneb owned the Otis AFB pipeline and its related environmental liabilities and (iii) Grace Energy was awarded \$1.8 million in attorney costs. Both Kaneb and Grace Energy appealed the final judgment of the trial

court to the Texas Court of Appeals in Dallas. In 2001, Grace Energy filed a petition in bankruptcy, which created an automatic stay of actions against Grace Energy. In September 2008, Grace Energy filed its Joint Plan of Reorganization and Disclosure Statement.

The Otis AFB is a part of a Superfund Site pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The site contains a number of groundwater contamination plumes, two of which are allegedly associated with the Otis AFB pipeline. Relying on the final judgment of the Texas state court assigning ownership of the Otis AFB pipeline to Kaneb, the U.S. Department of Justice (the DOJ) advised Kaneb in 2001 that it intends to seek reimbursement from Kaneb for the remediation costs associated with the two plumes. In November 2008, the DOJ forwarded information to us indicating that the past and estimated future remediation expenses associated with one plume are \$71.9 million. The DOJ has indicated that they will not seek recovery of remediation costs for the second plume. The DOJ has not filed a lawsuit against us related to this matter, and we have not made any payments toward costs incurred by the DOJ. We are currently in settlement discussions with other potentially responsible parties and the DOJ, and a change in our estimate of this liability may occur in the near term. However, any settlement agreement that is reached must be approved by multiple parties and requires the approval of the bankruptcy court and the federal district court. We cannot currently estimate when or if a settlement will be finalized.

Eres Matter. In August 2008, Eres N.V. (Eres) forwarded a demand for arbitration to CITGO Asphalt Refining Company (CARCO), CITGO Petroleum Corporation (CITGO), NuStar Asphalt Refining, LLC (NuStar Asphalt) and NuStar Marketing LLC (NuStar Marketing, and together with CARCO, CITGO and NuStar Asphalt, the Defendants) contending that the Defendants are in breach of a tanker voyage charter party agreement, dated November 2004, between Eres and CARCO (the Charter Agreement). The Charter Agreement provides for CARCO's use of Eres' vessels for the shipment of asphalt. Eres contends that NuStar Asphalt and/or NuStar Marketing (together, the NuStar Entities) assumed the Charter Agreement when NuStar Asphalt purchased the CARCO assets, and that the Defendants have failed to perform under the Charter Agreement since January 1, 2008. Eres has valued its damages for the alleged breach of contract claim at approximately \$78.1 million. Pursuant to a May 2010 ruling by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, the NuStar Entities were found to have assumed the Charter Agreement from CARCO and to be obligated to defend and indemnify CITGO and CARCO against Eres' claims. The Defendants were ordered to proceed with arbitration. We intend to vigorously defend against Eres' claims in arbitration.

Department of Justice Matter. In February 2008, the DOJ advised us that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has requested that the DOJ initiate a lawsuit against NuPOP for (a) failing to prepare adequate Facility Response Plans, as required by Section 311(j)(5) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1321(j), for certain of our pipeline terminals located in Region VII, by August 30, 1994, and (b) maintaining Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plans (SPCC) Plans at the terminal that deviate from the SPCC regulations, 40 C.F.R. §112.3. A Facility Response Plan is a plan for responding to a worst case discharge, and to a substantial threat of such a discharge, of oil or hazardous substances. The SPCC rule requires specific facilities to prepare, amend and implement plans to prevent, prepare and respond to oil discharges to navigable waters and adjoining shorelines. We cooperated fully with the DOJ's investigation, and all required Facility Response Plans are now in place. On March 18, 2010, the DOJ filed a consent decree in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska. Pursuant to the terms of the consent decree, we agreed to pay a penalty of \$450,000 and implement a supplemental environmental project to install and operate tank monitoring and alarm systems at several of our facilities. The consent decree was entered by the court in late April 2010. Our payment was made in late May 2010.

Other. We are also a party to additional claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, there can be no assurance that the resolution of any particular claim or proceeding would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity. It is possible that if one or more of the matters described above were decided against us, the effects could be material to our results of operations in the period in which we would be required to record or adjust the related liability and could also be material to our cash flows in the periods we would be required to pay such liability.

### **Commitments**

On June 18, 2010, we entered into a five-year lease to begin in 2011 for marine vessels, which will be used in our asphalt operations and represents an aggregate commitment of approximately \$41.0 million.

### 6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We segregate the inputs used in measuring fair value into three levels: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists.

The following assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

		June 30	0, 2010	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
		(Thousands		
		(1110 00001100	01 2 011413)	
Other current assets:				
Product imbalances	\$ 811	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 811
Commodity derivatives	7,558	Ψ _	Ψ _	7,558
Other long-term assets, net:	7,550			7,550
_		11,747		11,747
Interest rate swaps	=	11,747	-	11,747
Product imbalances	(492)			(492)
	<u>(482</u> )	¢ 11 747	<u> </u>	<u>(482)</u>
Total	\$ <u>7,887</u>	\$ <u>11,747</u>	\$	\$ <u>19,634</u>
		Dacamba	. 31 2000	
	Lovel 1	December		Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Level 1</u>		Level 3	<u>Total</u>
Other current accets:	<u>Level 1</u>	Level 2	Level 3	<u>Total</u>
Other current assets:		Level 2 (Thousands	Level 3 of Dollars)	
Product imbalances	<u>Level 1</u> \$ 2,096	Level 2	Level 3	<b>Total</b> \$ 2,096
Product imbalances Other long-term assets, net:		Level 2 (Thousands \$ -	Level 3 of Dollars)	\$ 2,096
Product imbalances Other long-term assets, net: Interest rate swaps		Level 2 (Thousands	Level 3 of Dollars)	
Product imbalances Other long-term assets, net: Interest rate swaps Accrued liabilities:	\$ 2,096	Level 2 (Thousands \$ -	Level 3 of Dollars)	\$ 2,096 8,623
Product imbalances Other long-term assets, net: Interest rate swaps Accrued liabilities: Commodity derivatives	\$ 2,096	Level 2 (Thousands \$ -	Level 3 of Dollars)	\$ 2,096 8,623 (30,788)
Product imbalances Other long-term assets, net: Interest rate swaps Accrued liabilities:	\$ 2,096	Level 2 (Thousands \$ -	Level 3 of Dollars)	\$ 2,096 8,623

#### **Product Imbalances**

We use quoted market prices as of the reporting date to value our assets and liabilities related to product imbalances.

#### **Interest Rate Swaps**

We estimate the fair value of the interest rate swaps using discounted cash flows, which use observable inputs such as time to maturity and market interest rates.

#### **Commodity Derivatives**

Our commodity derivative instruments consist of futures contracts and swaps traded on the NYMEX, and the fair values of these contracts are based on their quoted prices. We have consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented. See Note 7. Derivatives, Financial Instruments and Risk Management Activities for a discussion of our derivative instruments.

### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We do not record our outstanding debt at fair value in our consolidated balance sheet. The estimated fair value and carrying amount of our debt was as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Thousands	s of Dollars)
Fair value	\$ 1,907,760	\$ 1,877,373
Carrying amount	\$ 1,846,276	\$ 1,849,763

We estimated the fair values of our debt using a discounted cash flow analysis using current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

#### 7. DERIVATIVES, FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

We utilize various derivative instruments to: (i) manage our exposure to commodity price risk, (ii) engage in a trading program and (iii) manage our exposure to interest rate risk. Our risk management policies and procedures are designed to monitor interest rates, NYMEX and over-the-counter positions, as well as physical volumes, grades, locations and delivery schedules to help ensure that our derivative activities address our market risks. We have a risk management committee that oversees our trading controls and procedures and certain aspects of commodity and trading risk management. Our risk management committee also reviews all new commodity and trading risk management strategies in accordance with our risk management policy, as approved by our board of directors.

#### Interest Rate Swaps

We are a party to certain interest rate swap agreements for the purpose of hedging the interest rate risk associated with a portion of our fixed-rate senior notes. The interest rate swap contracts have an aggregate notional amount of \$167.5 million. We account for the interest rate swaps as fair value hedges and recognize the fair value of each interest rate swap in the consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or liability. The interest rate swap contracts qualify for the shortcut method of accounting. As a result, changes in the fair value of the derivatives will completely offset the changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged debt. As of June 30, 2010, the weighted-average interest rate for our interest rate swaps was 2.4%.

#### Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to commodity price risk with respect to our product inventories and related firm commitments to purchase and/or sell such inventories. We utilize futures contracts and swaps traded on the NYMEX to manage our exposure to changes in commodity prices, with the objective of stabilizing cash flows. We also enter into forward contracts in order to attempt to profit from market fluctuations.

The volume of commodity contracts is based on open derivative positions and represents the combined volume of our long and short positions on an absolute basis, which totaled 6.2 million barrels and 11.8 million barrels as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The fair values of our derivative instruments included in our consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

		Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	<b>Balance Sheet</b>	June 30,	December 31,	June 30,	December 31,
	Location	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	_		(Thousands	of Dollars)	
<b>Derivatives Designated as</b>					
Hedging Instruments:					
Interest rate swaps	Other long-term				
	assets, net	\$ 11,747	\$ 8,623	\$ -	\$ -
Commodity contracts	Other current				
	assets	6,829	-	(31)	-
Commodity contracts	Accrued				
	liabilities		3,797		<u>(14,279</u> )
Total		<u>18,576</u>	<u>12,420</u>	(31)	<u>(14,279</u> )
Donivotives Not Designated					
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:					
Commodity contracts	Other current				
Commodity contracts	assets	2,052		(1,292)	
Commodity contracts		2,032	_	(1,292)	-
Commodity contracts	liabilities		9,766		(30,072)
Total		2,052	9,766	$\frac{-}{(1,292)}$	(30,072) (30,072)
1 Otal		2,032	<u> </u>	(1,292)	(30,072)
Total Derivatives		\$ <u>20,628</u>	\$ <u>22,186</u>	\$ <u>(1,323</u> )	\$ <u>(44,351</u> )

No component of the associated derivative instruments' gains or losses was excluded from our assessment of hedge ineffectiveness. The earnings impact of our derivative activity was as follows:

Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments	Income Statement Location	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Hedged Item	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)
		(	Thousands of Dollars	
Three months ended Jun	ne 30, 2010:			
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$ 1,890	\$ (1,890)	\$ -
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	13,061	<u>(12,002</u> )	<u>1,059</u>
Total		\$ <u>14,951</u>	\$ <u>(13,892</u> )	\$ <u>1,059</u>
Three months ended Jun	ne 30, 2009:			
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$ (4,696)	\$ 4,696	\$ -
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	<u>(13,418</u> )	14,799	<u>1,381</u>
Total	-	\$ <u>(18,114</u> )	\$ <u>19,495</u>	\$ <u>1,381</u>
Six months ended June 3	80-2010:			
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$ 3,124	\$ (3,124)	\$ -
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	11,734	(8,915)	2,819
Total	Cost of product sales	\$ <u>14,858</u>	\$ (12,039)	\$ <u>2,819</u>
1 3 4 4 1		Ψ <u>-1.,000</u>	Ψ <u>(12,002</u> )	Ψ <u>=,υ.ν</u>
Six months ended June 3	30, 2009:			
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$ (6,111)	\$ 6,111	\$ -
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	(14,683)	17,242	2,559
Total	F	\$ (20,794)	\$ 23,353	\$ 2,559
		· <del>* * *</del>	· <del></del>	· <del>====</del>

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedging Instruments	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Income Statement Location (a)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)
	(Thousands of Dollars)		(Thousands	of Dollars)
Three months ended Jun	ne 30, 2010:			
Commodity contracts	\$ 1,119	Cost of product sales	\$ (498)	\$ 284
Six months ended June 30, 2010:				
Commodity contracts	\$ 239	Cost of product sales	\$ (913)	\$ 284

<sup>(</sup>a) Amounts are included in specified location for both the gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) into income (effective portion) and the gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative (ineffective portion).

<b>Derivatives Not Designated</b>					
as Fair Value Hedging	<b>Income Statement</b>	<b>Amount of Gain (Loss)</b>			
Instruments	Location	Recognized in Income			
	_	(Thousands of Dollars)			
Three months ended June 30, 201	0:				
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	\$ 5,632			
Commodity contracts	Operating expenses	-			
Total		\$			
Three months ended June 30, 200	9:				
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	\$ (11,024)			
Commodity contracts	Operating expenses	(2,783)			
Total		\$ <u>(13,807</u> )			
Six months ended June 30, 2010:					
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	\$ 6,698			
Commodity contracts	Operating expenses	<u>(10)</u>			
Total		\$ <u>6,688</u>			
Six months ended June 30, 2009:					
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	\$ (10,915)			
Commodity contracts	Operating expenses	(3,564)			
Total	2 0 1	\$ (14,479)			

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments, once a hedged transaction occurs, we reclassify the effective portion from "Accumulated other comprehensive income" to "Cost of product sales." As of June 30, 2010, we had a credit balance of \$0.9 million in "Accumulated other comprehensive income," all of which should be reclassified to "Cost of product sales" within the next twelve months. The maximum length of time over which we are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows is under twelve months. We had no cash flow hedging instruments during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

### Concentration of Credit Risk

We are exposed to credit risk on our hedging instruments in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. However, because our hedging activities are transacted only with highly rated institutions, we do not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties.

#### 8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our operations are managed by NuStar GP, LLC, the general partner of our general partner. Under a services agreement between NuStar Energy and NuStar GP, LLC, employees of NuStar GP, LLC perform services for our U.S. operations. Certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries employ persons who perform services for our international operations. All employees of NuStar GP, LLC provide services to both NuStar Energy and NuStar GP Holdings; therefore, we reimburse NuStar GP, LLC for all costs related to its employees, other than costs associated with NuStar GP Holdings.

We had a payable to NuStar GP, LLC of \$24.3 million and \$10.6 million, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, with both amounts representing payroll, employee benefit plan expenses and unit-based compensation. We also had a long-term payable to NuStar GP, LLC as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 of \$9.0 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, related to amounts payable for retiree medical benefits and other post-employment benefits.

The following table summarizes information pertaining to related party transactions with NuStar GP, LLC:

	<b>Three Months</b>	Ended June 30,	Six Months I	Ended June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
		(Thousand	s of Dollars)	
Operating expensesGeneral and administrative	\$ 34,075	\$ 31,135	\$ 67,919	\$ 61,895
expenses	13,320	16,026	30,558	30,894

#### 9. OTHER INCOME

Other income consisted of the following:

	Three Months 2010	Ended June 30, 2009 (Thousands o	<u>2010</u> <u>2009</u>		
Gain from insurance proceeds(Loss) gain from sale or disposition of	\$ 13,500	\$ 1,670	\$ 13,500	\$ 8,895	
assets	(793)	21,022	(688)	21,050	
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	382	(3,666)	(234)	(2,443)	
Other	1,727	214	2,539	342	
Other income, net	\$ <u>14,816</u>	\$ <u>19,240</u>	\$ <u>15,117</u>	\$ <u>27,844</u>	

The gain from insurance proceeds in both 2010 and 2009 results from insurance claims related to damage caused by Hurricane Ike primarily at our Texas City, Texas terminal in the third quarter of 2008. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the gain from the sale or disposition of assets includes a gain of \$21.4 million related to the June 15, 2009 sale of the Ardmore-Wynnewood pipeline in Oklahoma and the Trans-Texas pipeline.

#### 10. PARTNERS' EQUITY

#### Issuance of Common Units

On May 19, 2010, we issued 4,400,000 common units representing limited partner interests at a price of \$56.55 per unit. We used the net proceeds from this offering of \$245.4 million, including a contribution of \$5.1 million from our general partner to maintain its 2% general partner interest, mainly to reduce outstanding borrowings under our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement and for the acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc.

#### Allocations of Net Income

Our partnership agreement, as amended, sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders and general partner will receive. The partnership agreement also contains provisions for the allocation of net income and loss to the unitholders and the general partner. For purposes of maintaining partner capital accounts, the partnership agreement specifies that items of income and loss shall be allocated among the partners in accordance with their respective percentage interests. Normal allocations according to percentage interests are made after giving effect, if any, to priority income allocations in an amount equal to incentive cash distributions allocated 100% to the general partner.

The following table details the calculation of net income applicable to the general partner:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months	Ended June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
		(Thousands	of Dollars)	
Net income applicable to general partner and				
limited partners' interest	. \$ 99,422	\$ 83,735	\$ 119,125	\$ 123,090
Less general partner incentive distribution	. 8,369	6,929	16,168	13,858
Net income after general partner incentive				
distribution	. 91,053	76,806	102,957	109,232
General partner interest	. 2%	<u>2%</u>	2%	2%
General partner allocation of net income after				
general partner incentive distribution	. 1,821	1,536	2,059	2,185
General partner incentive distribution	. 8,369	6,929	16,168	13,858
Net income applicable to general partner	. \$ <u>10,190</u>	\$ <u>8,465</u>	\$ <u>18,227</u>	\$ <u>16,043</u>

#### Cash Distributions

In April 2010, we declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.065 per unit that was paid on May 14, 2010 to unitholders of record on May 7, 2010. This distribution related to the first quarter of 2010 and totaled \$73.4 million. On August 2, 2010, we announced a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.065 per unit related to the second quarter of 2010. This distribution will be paid on August 13, 2010 to unitholders of record on August 6, 2010 and will total \$78.8 million.

The following table reflects the allocation of total cash distributions to the general and limited partners applicable to the period in which the distributions were earned:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months	Ended June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	2009	<u>2010</u>	2009
	(Tho	ousands of Dollars,	Except Per Unit	Data)
General partner interest	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,318	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,636
General partner incentive distribution	8,369	6,929	16,168	13,858
Total general partner distribution	9,945	8,247	19,211	16,494
Limited partners' distribution	68,809	<u>57,591</u>	132,935	115,182
Total cash distributions	\$ <u>78,754</u>	\$ <u>65,838</u>	\$ <u>152,146</u>	\$ <u>131,676</u>
Cash distributions per unit applicable				
to limited partners	\$ <u>1.0650</u>	\$ <u>1.0575</u>	\$ <u>2.1300</u>	\$ <u>2.1150</u>

### Comprehensive Income

Our total comprehensive income was as follows:

	<b>Three Months</b>	Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2009</u>		<u>2009</u>
		(Thousands o	f Dollars)	
Net income Foreign currency translation	\$ 99,422	\$ 83,735	\$ 119,125	\$ 123,090
adjustment	(8,519)	17,053	(8,928)	11,053
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges	1,617	<u> </u>	1,152	
Comprehensive income	\$ <u>92,520</u>	\$ <u>100,788</u>	\$ <u>111,349</u>	\$ <u>134,143</u>

#### 11. NET INCOME PER UNIT

We have identified the general partner interest and incentive distribution rights (IDR) as participating securities and use the two-class method when calculating the net income per unit applicable to limited partners, which is based on the weighted-average number of common units outstanding during the period. Basic and diluted net income per unit applicable to limited partners are the same because we have no potentially dilutive securities outstanding.

The following table details the calculation of earnings per unit:

	<u>2010</u>	ns Ended June 30, 2009 Thousands of Dollars	2010	2009
	(1	illousalius of Dollars	, Except Fer Omi	Data)
Net incomeLess general partner distribution,	\$ 99,422	\$ 83,735	\$ 119,125	\$ 123,090
including IDRs	9,945	8,247	19,211	16,494
Less limited partner distribution	68,809	57,591	<u>132,935</u>	<u>115,182</u>
earnings	\$ <u>20,668</u>	\$ <u>17,897</u>	\$ <u>(33,021</u> )	\$ <u>(8,586)</u>
General partner earnings:				
Distributions	\$ 9,945	\$ 8,247	\$ 19,211	\$ 16,494
(greater than) earnings (2%) Total	$\frac{413}{10,358}$	$\frac{358}{8,605}$	(661) \$ <u>18,550</u>	(172) \$ 16,322
Limited partner earnings:				
DistributionsAllocation of distributions less than	\$ 68,809	\$ 57,591	\$ 132,935	\$ 115,182
(greater than) earnings (98%)	20,255	17,539	(32,360)	(8,414)
Total	\$ 89,064	\$ <u>75,130</u>	\$ <u>100,575</u>	\$ <u>106,768</u>
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding	62,289,670	54,460,549	61,255,853	54,460,549
Net income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.96

### 12. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Changes in current assets and current liabilities were as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	
	(Thousand	s of Dollars)	
Decrease (increase) in current assets:			
Accounts receivable	\$ (73,261)	\$ (99,253)	
Inventories	(190,579)	(261,873)	
Other current assets	27,248	(38,677)	
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	94,389	147,223	
Payable to related party	13,815	1,580	
Accrued interest payable	27	(295)	
Accrued liabilities	(45,362)	13,485	
Taxes other than income tax	(3,189)	3,335	
Income tax payable	1,397	(4,662)	
Changes in current assets and current liabilities	\$ <u>(175,515</u> )	\$ (239,137)	

Cash flows related to interest and income taxes were as follows:

	Six Months E	nded June 30,
	2010 (Thousands	of Dollars)
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 44.775	\$ 46,816
Cash paid for income taxes, net of tax refunds received	\$ 8,614	\$ 12,105

#### 13. INCOME TAXES

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we received \$13.5 million of proceeds resulting from insurance claims related to damage caused by Hurricane Ike primarily at our Texas City, Texas terminal in the third quarter of 2008, resulting in tax expense of approximately \$4.7 million. Additionally, our corporate subsidiary that received the insurance proceeds was part of the federal consolidated group that was the acquirer of Asphalt Holdings, Inc, a corporation and therefore subject to income tax. The acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. included approximately \$9.8 million of deferred tax liabilities related to temporary differences primarily related to property, plant and equipment. The receipt of the insurance proceeds and the acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. caused us to reevaluate the valuation allowance recorded related to certain net operating loss carryforwards previously expected to expire unused. We concluded that the income generated from the insurance proceeds, the deferred tax liability associated with Asphalt Holdings, Inc. and other tax planning strategies increased the likelihood of utilizing the net operating loss carryforwards, and we reduced the valuation allowance by \$8.6 million.

Tax effects of significant temporary differences representing deferred income tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

	June 30, <u>2010</u>	December 31, <u>2009</u>
	(Thousan	ds of Dollars)
U.S.:		
Net operating losses Environmental and legal reserves	\$ 16,721 14,289	\$ 20,788 14,234
Other	1,459	1,525
Valuation allowance	(875) 31,594	<u>(9,457)</u> <u>27,090</u>
Property, plant and equipment	(22,968)	(13,197)
Net deferred income tax asset – U.S	\$ <u>8,626</u>	\$ <u>13,893</u>
Foreign:		
Net operating losses Other	\$ 4,001	\$ 3,253 687
Capital loss	1,036	2,166
Valuation allowance  Deferred tax assets – foreign	(1,814) 3,223	6,106
Property, plant and equipment	(31,450)	(33,015)
Other  Deferred tax liabilities – foreign	(258) (31,708)	(33,015)
Net deferred income tax liability – foreign	\$ <u>(28,485</u> )	\$ <u>(26,909</u> )

#### 14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our reportable business segments consist of storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing. Our segments represent strategic business units that offer different services. We evaluate the performance of each segment based on its respective operating income, before general and administrative expenses and certain non-segmental depreciation and amortization expense. General and administrative expenses are not allocated to the operating segments since those expenses relate primarily to the overall management at the entity level. Our principal operations include terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and asphalt and fuels marketing. Intersegment revenues result from storage and throughput agreements at lease rates consistent with rates charged to third parties for storage and at pipeline tariff rates based upon the published tariff applicable to all shippers.

Results of operations for the reportable segments were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	<u>2010</u>	2009	<u>2010</u>	2009
		(Thousands	of Dollars)	
Revenues:				
Storage:				
Third party revenues	\$ 118,131	\$ 107,616	\$ 232,544	\$ 216,123
Intersegment revenues	10,678	<u>9,697</u>	22,897	18,992
Total storage	128,809	117,313	255,441	235,115
Transportation:				
Third party revenues	76,956	68,426	151,838	142,571
Intersegment revenues	2	318	382	565
Total transportation	76,958	68,744	152,220	143,136
Asphalt and fuels marketing:				
Third party revenues	929,854	811,800	1,686,088	1,263,152
Intersegment revenues	136	<del>_</del>	2,832	
Total asphalt and fuels marketing	929,990	811,800	1,688,920	1,263,152
Consolidation and intersegment				
eliminations	(10,816)	<u>(10,015</u> )	(26,111)	(19,557)
Total revenues	\$ <u>1,124,941</u>	\$ <u>987,842</u>	\$ <u>2,070,470</u>	\$ <u>1,621,846</u>
Operating income:				
Storage	\$ 42,865	\$ 40,397	\$ 85,753	\$ 87,049
Transportation	34,735	28,440	68,492	64,969
Asphalt and fuels marketing	47,552	41,676	39,656	37,188
Consolidation and intersegment				
eliminations	514	<u>497</u>	<u>277</u>	828
Total segment operating income	125,666	111,010	194,178	190,034
Less general and administrative				
expenses	22,195	25,852	49,464	48,316
Less other depreciation and				
amortization	1,441	1,082	2,911	2,208
Total operating income	\$ <u>102,030</u>	\$ <u>84,076</u>	\$ <u>141,803</u>	\$ <u>139,510</u>

Total assets by reportable segment were as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Thousands	of Dollars)
Storage	\$ 2.329.533	\$ 2.234.651
Storage	1 1 1	, , - ,
Transportation	1,263,843	1,286,533
Asphalt and fuels marketing	<u>1,333,523</u>	<u>1,121,448</u>
Total segment assets	4,926,899	4,642,632
Other partnership assets	123,767	132,041
Total consolidated assets	\$ <u>5,050,666</u>	\$ <u>4,774,673</u>

# 15. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NuStar Energy has no operations and its assets consist mainly of its investments in NuStar Logistics and NuPOP, both wholly owned subsidiaries. The senior notes issued by NuStar Logistics and NuPOP are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by NuStar Energy, and both NuStar Logistics and NuPOP fully and unconditionally guarantee the outstanding senior notes of the other. As a result, the following condensed consolidating financial statements are presented as an alternative to providing separate financial statements for NuStar Logistics and NuPOP.

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets June 30, 2010 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 53	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 34,498	\$ -	\$ 34,554
Receivables, net	· -	22,790	7,640	253,539	· -	283,969
Inventories	_	1,706	1,492	574,722	(15)	577,905
Other current assets	_	13,518	1,098	30,732	-	45,348
Intercompany receivable	<u></u>	941,930	717,379		(1,659,309)	
Current assets	53	979,947	727,609	893,491	(1,659,324)	941,776
Property, plant and						
equipment, net	_	968,464	618,494	1,502,185	-	3,089,143
Intangible assets, net	_	2,177	-	46,954	-	49,131
Goodwill	-	18,094	170,652	623,426	-	812,172
Investment in wholly						
owned subsidiaries	3,205,590	132,756	941,312	2,027,023	(6,306,681)	-
Investment in joint venture	-	-	-	68,795	-	68,795
Deferred income tax asset	-	-	-	8,626	=	8,626
Other long-term assets, net		23,168	26,329	31,526		81,023
Total assets	\$ <u>3,205,643</u>	\$ <u>2,124,606</u>	\$ <u>2,484,396</u>	\$ <u>5,202,026</u>	\$ <u>(7,966,005</u> )	\$ <u>5,050,666</u>
Liabilities and Partners' Equ	ıity					
Current portion of long-	•					
term debt	\$ -	\$ 770	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 770
Payables	-	34,470	6,196	277,826	-	318,492
Accrued interest payable	_	13,030	8,490	31	-	21,551
Accrued liabilities	696	10,781	3,728	17,681	=	32,886
Taxes other than income						
tax	-	3,285	2,710	6,312	=	12,307
Income tax payable	-	563	-	1,324	=	1,887
Intercompany payable	510,073			1,149,236	(1,659,309)	
Current liabilities	510,769	62,899	21,124	<u>1,452,410</u>	(1,659,309)	387,893
Long-term debt, less						
current portion	-	1,295,192	518,913	31,401	-	1,845,506
Long-term payable to						
related party	-	2,426	-	6,549	-	8,975
Deferred tax liability	-	-	-	28,485	=	28,485
Other long-term liabilities	-	3,637	251	81,011	=	84,899
Total partners' equity	<u>2,694,874</u>	760,452	1,944,108	3,602,170	(6,306,696)	2,694,908
Total liabilities and						
partners' equity	\$ <u>3,205,643</u>	\$ <u>2,124,606</u>	\$ <u>2,484,396</u>	\$ <u>5,202,026</u>	\$ <u>(7,966,005)</u>	\$ <u>5,050,666</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet December 31, 2009 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 53	\$ 1,602	\$ -	\$ 60,351	\$ -	\$ 62,006
Receivables, net	-	38,973	6,771	176,778	(10,725)	211,797
Inventories	-	1,614	1,587	386,835	(2,242)	387,794
Other current assets	-	9,132	2,233	61,757	-	73,122
Intercompany receivable	<u></u> _	806,005	713,451	<del>_</del> _	(1,519,456)	<u>-</u>
Current assets	53	857,326	724,042	685,721	<u>(1,532,423</u> )	734,719
Property, plant and						
equipment, net	-	947,895	626,698	1,453,603	-	3,028,196
Intangible assets, net	-	2,247	-	41,880	-	44,127
Goodwill	-	18,094	170,652	618,996	-	807,742
Investment in wholly						
owned subsidiaries	2,986,970	118,299	873,422	1,907,118	(5,885,809)	-
Investment in joint venture	-	-	-	68,728	-	68,728
Deferred income tax asset	_	_	-	13,893	-	13,893
Other long-term assets, net	49	21,942	26,392	28,885	_	77,268
Total assets	\$ 2,987,072	\$ <u>1,965,803</u>	\$ <u>2,421,206</u>	\$ <u>4,818,824</u>	\$ <u>(7,418,232</u> )	\$ <u>4,774,673</u>
Liabilities and Partners' Equ	itv					
Current portion of long-	v					
term debt	\$ -	\$ 770	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 770
Payables	944	18,566	10,654	196,805	(10,725)	216,244
Notes payable	-	20,000	-	-	-	20,000
Accrued interest payable	-	12,996	8,490	43	_	21,529
Accrued liabilities	1.191	14,380	4,652	44,472	(44)	64,651
Taxes other than income	, -	,	,	,	( )	- ,
tax	125	4,183	2,280	8,946	_	15,534
Income tax payable	-	1,271	-	(1,245)	_	26
Intercompany payable	507,654	, -	_	1,011,806	(1,519,460)	_
Current liabilities	509,914	72,166	26.076	1,260,827	(1,530,229)	338,754
Long-term debt, less					(-,,	
current portion	_	1,271,750	523,326	33,917	_	1,828,993
Long-term payable to		-,,	,	22,22.		-,,
related party	_	1,082	_	6,581	_	7,663
Deferred tax liability	_	- 1,002	_	26,909	_	26,909
Other long-term liabilities	_	3,923	883	82,580	_	87,386
Total partners' equity	2,477,158	616,882	1,870,921	3,408,010	(5,888,003)	2,484,968
Total liabilities and	2,777,130	010,002	1,070,721	<u>5,700,010</u>	<u>(2,000,003</u> )	<u>2,707,700</u>
partners' equity	\$ 2.987.072	\$ <u>1,965,803</u>	\$ 2,421,206	\$ <u>4,818,824</u>	\$ (7,418,232)	\$ <u>4,774,673</u>
partitors equity	Ψ 2,701,012	Ψ 1,703,003	Ψ <u>2,721,200</u>	Ψ <u>π,υ1υ,υ2π</u>	Ψ <u>(1,710,232</u> )	Ψ <u>T, 1 T, U I J</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor <u>Subsidiaries (a)</u>	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 73,994	\$ 37,496	\$ 1,052,482	\$ (39,031)	\$ 1,124,941
Costs and expenses	<u>226</u>	44,206	<u>28,547</u>	991,370	(41,438)	<u>1,022,911</u>
Operating (loss) income	(226)	29,788	8,949	61,112	2,407	102,030
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	99,648	33,490	43,103	57,400	(233,641)	_
Equity in earnings of joint						
venture	-	-	-	2,102	-	2,102
Interest expense, net	-	(12,407)	(5,921)	(562)	-	(18,890)
Other income, net		664	247	13,905	<del>_</del>	14,816
Income before income tax						
expense	99,422	51,535	46,378	133,957	(231,234)	100,058
Income tax expense	<u> </u>	333	<u>=</u>	303		636
Net income	\$ <u>99,422</u>	\$ <u>51,202</u>	\$ <u>46,378</u>	\$ <u>133,654</u>	\$ <u>(231,234)</u>	\$ <u>99,422</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor <u>Subsidiaries (a)</u>	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Revenues  Costs and expenses  Operating (loss) income	\$ - <u>552</u> (552)	\$ 68,049 <u>46,102</u> 21,947	\$ 35,785 <u>27,015</u> 8,770	\$ 887,708 <u>834,166</u> 53,542	\$ (3,700) (4,069) 369	\$ 987,842 <u>903,766</u> 84,076
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries Equity in earnings of joint	84,287	33,171	18,442	28,420	(164,320)	-
venture	-	-	-	3,011	-	3,011
Interest expense, net	-	(13,294)	(6,043)	(928)	-	(20,265)
Other income (expense), net Income before income tax	<del>_</del>	21,193	<u>103</u>	(2,056)		<u>19,240</u>
expense	83,735	63,017	21,272	81,989	(163,951)	86,062
Income tax expense		220		2,107		2,327
Net income	\$ <u>83,735</u>	\$ <u>62,797</u>	\$ <u>21,272</u>	\$ <u>79,882</u>	\$ <u>(163,951</u> )	\$ <u>83,735</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 147,226	\$ 72,860	\$ 1,938,134	\$ (87,750)	\$ 2,070,470
Costs and expenses	675	93,034	55,978	<u>1,868,910</u>	<u>(89,930</u> )	1,928,667
Operating (loss) income	(675)	54,192	16,882	69,224	2,180	141,803
Equity in earnings of						
subsidiaries	119,799	14,458	67,890	94,894	(297,041)	-
Equity in earnings of joint						
venture	-	-	_	5,117	-	5,117
Interest income (expense),						
net	1	(24,414)	(11,844)	(1,219)	-	(37,476)
Other income, net	<u>-</u>	_1,239	259	13,619	<u>-</u> _	15,117
Income before income tax		·				
expense	119,125	45,475	73,187	181,635	(294,861)	124,561
Income tax expense	<del>_</del>	<u>726</u>		4,710	<u>=</u>	5,436
Net income	\$ <u>119,125</u>	\$ <u>44,749</u>	\$ <u>73,187</u>	\$ <u>176,925</u>	\$ <u>(294,861</u> )	\$ <u>119,125</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues		\$ 144,150	\$ 67,895	\$ 1,416,953	\$ (7,152)	\$ 1,621,846
Costs and expenses Operating (loss) income	1,039 (1,039)	90,567 53,583	49,527 18,368	1,348,853 68,100	<u>(7,650)</u> 498	1,482,336 139,510
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	124,129	21,206	48,181	72,862	(266,378)	-
Equity in earnings of joint venture	-	_	_	5,324	_	5,324
Interest expense, net	-	(26,581)	(12,117)	(2,037)	-	(40,735)
Other income, net  Income before income tax		21,425	106	6,313	<del></del>	27,844
expense	123,090	69,633	54,538	150,562	(265,880)	131,943
Income tax expense		440		8,413		8,853
Net income	\$ <u>123,090</u>	\$ <u>69,193</u>	\$ <u>54,538</u>	\$ <u>142,149</u>	\$ <u>(265,880)</u>	\$ <u>123,090</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor <u>Subsidiaries (a)</u>	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ <u>144,594</u>	\$ <u>84,332</u>	\$ <u>9,135</u>	\$ <u>(77,609</u> )	\$ <u>(146,799</u> )	\$ <u>13,653</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Capital expenditures	_	(45,645)	(5,146)	(66,894)	-	(117,685)
Acquisition	-	-	-	(43,026)	-	(43,026)
Proceeds from sale of assets	_	-	18	139	_	157
Proceeds from insurance						
claims	_	-	-	13,500	_	13,500
Investment in other long-term						
assets	-	-	-	(3,224)	-	(3,224)
Investment in subsidiaries	(245,604)		<u>-</u>	(25)	245,629	
Net cash used in investing						
activities	<u>(245,604</u> )	<u>(45,645</u> )	<u>(5,128</u> )	<u>(99,530</u> )	245,629	<u>(150,278</u> )
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Debt borrowings	_	847,146	_	_	_	847,146
Debt repayments	-	(832,424)	-	_	_	(832,424)
Issuance of common units,		( , ,				( , ,
net of issuance costs	240,297	-	-	_	_	240,297
General partner contribution	5,078	-	-	_	_	5,078
Partners' contributions	-	245,604	-	25	(245,629)	-
Distributions to unitholders		-,			( - , ,	
and general partner	(146,784)	(146,784)	-	(15)	146,799	(146,784)
Net intercompany	, , ,	, , ,		` ,	,	, , ,
borrowings (repayments)	2,419	(136,145)	(4,006)	137,732	_	_
Decrease in cash book		, , ,				
overdrafts	<u>-</u>	(3,962)	(1)	(1,548)	<u>-</u>	(5,511)
Net cash provided by (used in)						
financing activities	<u>101,010</u>	(26,565)	<u>(4,007</u> )	<u>136,194</u>	(98,830)	107,802
Effect of foreign ayahanga note						
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash		(13,721)		15,092		1,371
changes on cash	-	(13,721)	-	13,092	-	1,3/1
Net decrease in cash and cash						
equivalents	=	(1,599)	-	(25,853)	-	(27,452)
Cash and cash equivalents as of		` ' '		` ' '		` ' '
the beginning of the period	53	1,602	=	60,351	=	62,006
Cash and cash equivalents as of					<u> </u>	
the end of the period	\$53	\$3	\$	\$ <u>34,498</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>34,554</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

# Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar <u>Energy</u>	NuStar <u>Logistics</u>	<u>NuPOP</u>	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	<b>Eliminations</b>	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ <u>130,167</u>	\$ <u>40,262</u>	\$ <u>20,131</u>	\$ <u>(127,509</u> )	\$ <u>(131,689</u> )	\$ <u>(68,638</u> )
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Capital expenditures Proceeds from sale or	-	(15,698)	(12,802)	(40,507)	-	(69,007)
disposition of assets Proceeds from insurance	-	29,090	35	26	-	29,151
claims  Net cash provided by (used in)		<del>-</del>		10,162	<del>-</del>	10,162
investing activities		13,392	(12,767)	(30,319)		(29,694)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Debt borrowings  Debt repayments	-	777,789 (532,642)	-	-	-	777,789 (532,642)
Distributions to unitholders and general partner Net intercompany	(131,676)	(131,676)	-	(13)	131,689	(131,676)
borrowings (repayments)  Decrease in cash book	1,509	(159,266)	(7,334)	165,091	-	-
overdrafts  Net cash (used in) provided by		(8,082)		<u>(426</u> )	<del></del>	(8,508)
financing activities	<u>(130,167</u> )	(53,877)	<u>(7,334</u> )	<u>164,652</u>	131,689	104,963
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	-	225	-	2,494	-	2,719
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	-	2	30	9,318	-	9,350
the beginning of the period	53	2	656	44,664	<del>_</del>	45,375
Cash and cash equivalents as of the end of the period	\$53	\$4	\$ <u>686</u>	\$ <u>53,982</u>	\$	\$ <u>54,725</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

### 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

# 2010 Gulf Opportunity Zone Revenue Bonds

On July 15, 2010, the Parish of St. James, where our St. James, Louisiana, terminal is located, issued \$100.0 million of Revenue Bonds (NuStar Logistics, L.P. Project) Series 2010 associated with our St. James terminal expansion pursuant to the Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005. The bonds mature on July 1, 2040. The interest rate is based on a weekly tax-exempt bond market interest rate and is paid monthly. Following the issuance, the proceeds were deposited with a trustee and will be disbursed to us upon our request for reimbursement of expenditures related to our St. James terminal expansion. NuStar Logistics is solely obligated to service the principal and interest payments associated with the bonds. One of the lenders under our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement issued a letter of credit in the amount of \$101.3 million on our behalf to guarantee the payment of interest and principal on the bonds. This letter of credit ranks equally with existing senior unsecured indebtedness of NuStar Logistics.

#### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains certain estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions and other forward-looking statements that involve various risks and uncertainties. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith and reflect our current judgment regarding the direction of our business, actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions or other future performance suggested in this report. These forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the words "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "plans," "intends," "estimates," "forecasts," "budgets," "projects," "will," "could," "should," "may" and similar expressions. These statements reflect our current views with regard to future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Please read our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, Part I, Item 14 "Risk Factors," as well as our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, for a discussion of certain of those risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those described in any forward-looking statement. Other unknown or unpredictable factors could also have material adverse effects on our future results. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this forward-looking information, which is as of the date of this Form 10-Q. We do not intend to update these statements unless it is required by the securities laws to do so, and we undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to any such forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

#### **OVERVIEW**

NuStar Energy L.P. (NuStar Energy) is a publicly held Delaware limited partnership engaged in the terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and asphalt and fuels marketing. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "NuStar Energy," "the Partnership," "we," "our" and "us" are used in this report to refer to NuStar Energy L.P., to one or more of our consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole. NuStar GP Holdings, LLC (NuStar GP Holdings) (NYSE: NSH) wholly owns our general partner, Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., and owns a 17.5% total interest in us as of June 30, 2010. Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented in five sections:

- Overview
- Results of Operations
- Outlook
- Liquidity and Capital Resources
- Critical Accounting Policies

#### **Operations**

We conduct our operations through our wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily NuStar Logistics, L.P. (NuStar Logistics) and NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P. (NuPOP). Our operations are divided into three reportable business segments: storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing.

*Storage*. We own terminals in the United States, the Netherlands Antilles, Canada, Mexico, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom providing approximately 68.0 million barrels of storage capacity. Our terminals provide storage and handling services on a fee basis for petroleum products, specialty chemicals and other liquids, including crude oil and other feedstocks. We also own 60 crude oil and intermediate feedstock storage tanks and related assets that provide an aggregate 12.5 million barrels of storage capacity to refineries in California and Texas.

Transportation. We own common carrier refined product pipelines in Texas, Oklahoma, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, South Dakota, North Dakota and Minnesota covering approximately 5,605 miles, consisting of the Central West System, the East Pipeline and the North Pipeline. The East and North Pipelines also include 21 terminals providing storage capacity of 4.6 million barrels, and the East Pipeline includes two tank farms providing storage capacity of 1.2 million barrels. In addition, we own a 2,000 mile anhydrous ammonia pipeline located in Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa and Nebraska. We also own 812 miles of crude oil pipelines in

Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Colorado and Illinois, as well as associated crude oil storage facilities providing storage capacity of 1.9 million barrels in Texas and Oklahoma that are located along the crude oil pipelines. We charge tariffs on a per barrel basis for transporting refined products, crude oil and other feedstocks in our refined product and crude oil pipelines and on a per ton basis for transporting anhydrous ammonia in our ammonia pipeline.

Asphalt and Fuels Marketing. Our asphalt and fuels marketing segment includes our asphalt refining operations and our fuels marketing operations. We refine crude oil to produce asphalt and certain other refined products from our asphalt operations. We own two asphalt refineries with a combined throughput capacity of 104,000 barrels per day and related terminal facilities providing storage capacity of 5.0 million barrels. Additionally, as part of our fuels marketing operations, we purchase gasoline and other refined petroleum products for resale. The results of operations for the asphalt and fuels marketing segment depend largely on the gross margin between our costs and the sales price of the products we market. Therefore, the results of operations for this segment are more sensitive to changes in commodity prices compared to our storage and transportation segments. We enter into derivative contracts to mitigate the effect of commodity price fluctuations.

The following factors affect the results of our operations:

- company-specific factors, such as integrity issues and maintenance requirements that impact the throughput rates of our assets;
- seasonal factors that affect the demand for products transported by and/or stored in our assets and the demand for products we sell, particularly asphalt;
- industry factors, such as changes in the prices of petroleum products, that affect demand and operations of our competitors;
- factors such as commodity price volatility and market structure that impact our asphalt and fuels marketing segment; and
- other factors, such as refinery utilization rates and maintenance turnaround schedules, that impact our refineries, as well as the operations of refineries served by our storage and transportation assets.

# RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

### **Financial Highlights**

(Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2010	2009	<b>Change</b>	
Statement of Income Data:				
Revenues:				
Services revenues	\$ 195,087	\$ 176,042	\$ 19,045	
Product sales	929,854	811,800	118,054	
Total revenues	<u>1,124,941</u>	<u>987,842</u>	137,099	
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales	842,588	731,861	110,727	
Operating expenses	119,943	110,505	9,438	
General and administrative expenses	22,195	25,852	(3,657)	
Depreciation and amortization expense	38,185	35,548	2,637	
Total costs and expenses	1,022,911	<u>903,766</u>	<u>119,145</u>	
Operating income	102,030	84,076	17,954	
Equity earnings from joint ventures	2,102	3,011	(909)	
Interest expense, net	(18,890)	(20,265)	1,375	
Other income, net	14,816	19,240	(4,424)	
Income before income tax expense	100,058	86,062	13,996	
Income tax expense	636	2,327	(1,691)	
Net income	\$ <u>99,422</u>	\$ <u>83,735</u>	\$ <u>15,687</u>	
Net income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$1.43	\$ <u>1.38</u>	\$0.05	
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding	62,289,670	<u>54,460,549</u>	<u>7,829,121</u>	

### Highlights

Net income increased \$15.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to an increase in segment operating income, partially offset by a decrease in other income. Segment operating income increased \$14.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, due to increased operating income from all of our segments, especially our transportation and asphalt and fuels marketing segments.

**Segment Operating Highlights** (Thousands of Dollars, Except Barrels/Day Information)

	<b>Three Months Ended June</b>		e 30,	
	2010	2009	<b>Change</b>	
Storage:				
Throughput (barrels/day)	684,982	695,558	(10,576)	
Throughput revenues	\$ 19,119	\$ 19,728	\$ (609)	
Storage lease revenues	109,690	97,585	12,105	
Total revenues	128,809	117,313	11,496	
Operating expenses	66,955	59,470	7,485	
Depreciation and amortization expense	18,989	17,446	1,543	
Segment operating income	\$ 42,865	\$ <u>40,397</u>	\$ 2,468	
Transportation:				
Refined products pipelines throughput				
(barrels/day)	533,979	564,762	(30,783)	
Crude oil pipelines throughput	,	,	(	
(barrels/day)	398,518	<u>346,291</u>	52,227	
Total throughput (barrels/day)	932,497	911,053	21,444	
Throughput revenues	\$ 76,958	\$ 68,744	\$ 8,214	
Operating expenses	29,543	27,690	1,853	
Depreciation and amortization expense	12,680	12,614	66	
Segment operating income	\$ 34,735	\$ 28,440	\$ 6,295	
Asphalt and Fuels Marketing:				
Product sales	\$ 929,990	\$ 811,800	\$ 118,190	
Cost of product sales	847,065	736,009	<u>111,056</u>	
Gross margin	82,925	75,791	7,134	
Operating expenses	30,298	29,709	589	
Depreciation and amortization expense	5,075	4,406	669	
Segment operating income	\$ <u>47,552</u>	\$ <u>41,676</u>	\$ <u>5,876</u>	
<b>Consolidation and Intersegment</b>				
Eliminations:				
Revenues	\$ (10,816)	\$ (10,015)	\$ (801)	
Cost of product sales	(4,477)	(4,148)	(329)	
Operating expenses	(6,853)	<u>(6,364</u> )	(489)	
Total	\$ <u>514</u>	\$ <u>497</u>	\$ <u>17</u>	
Consolidated Information:				
Revenues	\$ 1,124,941	\$ 987,842	\$ 137,099	
Cost of product sales	842,588	731,861	110,727	
Operating expenses	119,943	110,505	9,438	
Depreciation and amortization expense	36,744	34,466	2,278	
Segment operating income	125,666	111,010	14,656	
General and administrative expenses	22,195	25,852	(3,657)	
Other depreciation and amortization				
expense	1,441	1,082	359	
Consolidated operating income	\$ <u>102,030</u>	\$ <u>84,076</u>	\$ <u>17,954</u>	

#### Storage

Revenues increased \$11.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to:

- an increase of \$6.4 million across various domestic terminals due to rate escalations, new customer contracts and increased customer utilization, as well as higher throughput and related handling charges;
- an increase of \$2.7 million at our international terminals mainly due to new customer contracts and increased customer utilization, as well as higher throughput and related handling charges and the completion of our tank expansion project at our Amsterdam terminal; and
- an increase of \$1.5 million related to our acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc., which included three terminals in Mobile County, Alabama.

Operating expenses increased \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to an increase of \$3.5 million in employee-related expenses and an increase of \$1.5 million in maintenance expenses resulting from tank work at certain terminals in our gulf coast and west coast regions.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to the completion of various terminal upgrade and expansion projects.

### **Transportation**

Throughputs increased 21,444 barrels per day and revenues increased \$8.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to:

- an increase of 75,122 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$6.1 million on pipelines serving the McKee refinery, mainly due to the completion of a turnaround at the refinery in 2009;
- an increase of 14,754 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$3.0 million on the East Pipeline due to the impact of high system inventories and lower demand in 2009;
- an increase of 7,607 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$2.5 million on the Ammonia Pipeline resulting from more favorable weather conditions compared to the prior year and an early start of the planting season;
- a decrease in throughputs of 13,450 barrels per day and a decrease in revenues of \$2.1 million due to a scheduled turnaround at the refinery served by the North Pipeline; and
- a decrease in throughputs of 52,848 barrels per day and a decrease in revenues of \$1.3 million due to the sale of the Ardmore-Wynnewood and Trans-Texas pipelines.

Operating expenses increased \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, due to increased power costs and product imbalances on the East Pipeline. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized gains from our product imbalances mainly due to an increase in prices and higher volumes.

#### Asphalt and Fuels Marketing

Sales and cost of product sales increased \$118.2 million and \$111.1 million, respectively, resulting in an increase in total gross margin of \$7.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in total gross margin was primarily due to an increase of \$9.0 million in our fuels marketing operations mainly due to hedge gains in the second quarter of 2010. Although the gross margin per barrel for our asphalt operations was slightly higher in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2009, gross margin decreased \$1.9 million due to lower volumes sold.

### Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations

Revenue, cost of product sales and operating expense eliminations primarily relate to storage and transportation fees charged to the asphalt and fuels marketing segment by the transportation and storage segments. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the asphalt and fuels marketing segment utilized more terminal capacity from our storage segment than for the three months ended June 30, 2009, resulting in higher eliminations for revenue and operating expense.

#### General

General and administrative expenses decreased \$3.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to a decline in compensation expense associated with our long-term incentive plans, which fluctuates with our unit price.

Interest expense decreased \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, mainly due to a lower balance on our revolving credit agreement and a lower variable interest rate paid on our interest rate swaps.

Other income, net consisted of the following:

	<b>Three Months</b>	Ended June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Thousands	of Dollars)
Gain from insurance proceeds	\$ 13,500	\$ 1,670
(Loss) gain from sale or disposition of		
assets	(793)	21,022
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	382	(3,666)
Other	1,727	214
Other income, net	\$ <u>14,816</u>	\$ <u>19,240</u>

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the gain from insurance proceeds results from insurance claims related to damage caused by Hurricane Ike primarily at our Texas City, Texas terminal in the third quarter of 2008. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the gain from sale or disposition of assets includes a gain of \$21.4 million related to the June 15, 2009 sale of the Ardmore-Wynnewood pipeline in Oklahoma and the Trans-Texas pipeline.

Income tax expense decreased \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to the reversal of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance, partially offset by increased expense resulting from higher taxable income. The receipt of \$13.5 million in insurance proceeds related to Hurricane Ike and our acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. caused us to reevaluate the valuation allowance recorded related to certain net operating loss carryforwards previously expected to expire unused.

### Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

### **Financial Highlights**

(Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Six Months Ended June30,			
	2010	2009	<b>Change</b>	
Statement of Income Data:				
Revenues:				
Services revenues	\$ 384,382	\$ 358,694	\$ 25,688	
Product sales	1,686,088	1,263,152	422,936	
Total revenues	<u>2,070,470</u>	<u>1,621,846</u>	448,624	
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales	1,561,809	1,148,656	413,153	
Operating expenses	241,280	213,827	27,453	
General and administrative expenses	49,464	48,316	1,148	
Depreciation and amortization expense	76,114	71,537	4,577	
Total costs and expenses	1,928,667	1,482,336	446,331	
Operating income	141,803	139,510	2,293	
Equity earnings from joint ventures	5,117	5,324	(207)	
Interest expense, net	(37,476)	(40,735)	3,259	
Other income, net	15,117	27,844	(12,727)	
Income before income tax expense	124,561	131,943	(7,382)	
Income tax expense	5,436	8,853	(3,417)	
Net income	\$ <u>119,125</u>	\$ <u>123,090</u>	\$ <u>(3,965</u> )	
Net income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$1.64	\$ <u>1.96</u>	\$(0.32)	
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding	61,255,853	<u>54,460,549</u>	6,795,304	

### Highlights

Net income decreased \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to a decrease in other income, partially offset by an increase in segment operating income. Segment operating income increased \$4.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, mainly due to increased operating income from our transportation segment and asphalt and fuels marketing segment.

**Segment Operating Highlights** (Thousands of Dollars, Except Barrels/Day Information)

	Six Months l	Ended June 30,	30.	
	<u>2010</u>	2009	<b>Change</b>	
Storage:				
Throughput (barrels/day)	663,339	646,025	17,314	
Throughput (barrets/day)  Throughput revenues	\$ 36,946	\$ 39,756	\$ (2,810)	
Storage lease revenues	218,495	195,359	23,136	
Total revenues	255,441	235,115	20,326	
Operating expenses	132,033	113,628	18,405	
Depreciation and amortization expense	37,655	34,438	3,217	
Segment operating income	\$ 85,753	\$ 87,049	\$ (1,296)	
Tuongnoutations				
Transportation: Refined products pipelines throughput				
(barrels/day)	530,678	592,341	(61,663)	
Crude oil pipelines throughput	330,076	392,341	(01,003)	
(barrels/day)	380,975	366,027	14,948	
Total throughput (barrels/day)	911,653	958,368	(46,715)	
Revenues	\$ 152,220	\$ 143,136	\$ 9,084	
Operating expenses	58,296	52,890	5,406	
Depreciation and amortization expense	25,432	25,277	155	
Segment operating income	\$ 68,492	\$ 64,969	\$ 3,523	
Acphalt and Evals Marketings				
Asphalt and Fuels Marketing: Product sales	\$ 1,688,920	\$ 1,263,152	\$ 425,768	
Cost of product sales	1,573,799	1,156,802	416,997	
Gross margin	115,121	106,350	8,771	
Operating expenses	65,349	59,548	5,801	
Depreciation and amortization expense	10,116	9,614	502	
Segment operating income	\$ 39,656	\$ 37,188	$\frac{302}{2,468}$	
Constitution and International				
Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations:				
Revenues	\$ (26,111)	\$ (19,557)	\$ (6,554)	
Cost of product sales	(11,990)	(8,146)	(3,844)	
Operating expenses	(14,398)	(12,239)	(2,159)	
Total	\$ 277	\$ <u>828</u>	\$ (551)	
Constituted Lefterment and				
Consolidated Information:	¢ 2 070 470	¢ 1 621 046	¢ 449 624	
Revenues	\$ 2,070,470	\$ 1,621,846	\$ 448,624	
Cost of product sales	1,561,809	1,148,656	413,153	
Operating expenses	241,280 73,203	213,827 69,329	27,453 3,874	
Depreciation and amortization expense Segment operating income	194,178	190,034	4,144	
	49,464	48,316		
General and administrative expenses  Other depreciation and amortization	47,404	40,310	1,148	
expense	2,911	2,208	703	
Consolidated operating income	\$ 141,803	\$\frac{2,208}{139,510}	\$ <u>2,293</u>	
Consolidated operating mediae	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ <u> </u>	

# Storage

Throughputs increased 17,314 barrels per day for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, mainly due to the completion of turnarounds in 2009 at refineries served by our Texas City and Corpus Christi crude oil storage tanks. Throughput revenues decreased \$2.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, despite the increase in total throughputs, due to lower throughputs at refined product terminals, which have higher throughput fees per barrel than the Texas City and Corpus Christi crude oil storage tanks.

Storage revenues increased \$23.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to:

- an increase of \$10.7 million across various domestic terminals due to higher throughput and related handling charges, as well as rate escalations, new customer contracts and increased customer utilization;
- an increase of \$5.8 million at our Amsterdam and UK terminals, mainly due to higher throughput and associated handling fees at our UK terminals, the completed tank expansion project at our Amsterdam terminal and the effect of foreign exchange rates;
- an increase of \$3.2 million at our Point Tupper and St. Eustatius terminal facilities, mainly due to new contracts and rate escalations; and
- an increase of \$1.5 million related to our acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc., which included three terminals in Mobile County, Alabama.

Operating expenses increased \$18.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to:

- an increase of \$8.3 million related to higher salaries and wages and other employee-related expenses resulting from increased headcount and temporary labor;
- an increase of \$5.5 million in maintenance and contractor expenses, primarily due to regulatory tank work and other general projects at our domestic terminals; and
- an increase of \$2.2 million related to property taxes resulting from new appraisal values and higher environmental costs.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to the completion of various terminal upgrade and expansion projects.

#### **Transportation**

Although throughputs decreased 46,715 barrels per day, revenues increased \$9.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to:

- an increase in throughputs of 1,994 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$4.7 million on the East Pipeline due to increased long-haul deliveries resulting in a higher average tariff;
- an increase in throughputs of 24,180 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$4.4 million on certain pipelines serving the McKee refinery, which completed a turnaround and experienced other operational issues in 2009:
- an increase in throughputs of 5,237 barrels per day and an increase in revenues of \$3.8 million on the Ammonia Pipeline due to more favorable weather conditions compared to the prior year and an early start of the planting season;
- a decrease in throughputs of 57,949 barrels per day and a decrease in revenues of \$3.0 million due to the sale of the Ardmore-Wynnewood and Trans-Texas pipelines; and
- a decrease in throughputs of 10,457 barrels per day and a decrease in revenues of \$2.7 due to a scheduled turnaround at the refinery served by the North Pipeline.

Operating expenses increased \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to increased maintenance costs and product imbalances on the East Pipeline. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized gains from our product imbalances mainly due to an increase in prices and higher volumes.

## Asphalt and Fuels Marketing

Sales and cost of product sales increased \$425.8 million and \$417.0 million, respectively, resulting in an increase in total gross margin of \$8.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in total gross margin was primarily due to an increase of \$6.4 million in our fuels marketing operations mainly due to hedge gains and increased bunker sale volumes. Gross margins from bunker sales at our Point Tupper facility were negative for the six months ended June 30, 2009. In addition, the gross margins for our asphalt operations increased \$2.4 million due to higher volumes sold for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Operating expenses increased \$5.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to new storage and power costs at asphalt terminals leased by our asphalt operations during the first six months of 2010 that we did not lease during comparable period of 2009.

### Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations

Revenue, cost of product sales and operating expense eliminations primarily relate to storage and transportation fees charged to the asphalt and fuels marketing segment by the transportation and storage segments. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the asphalt and fuels marketing segment utilized more terminal capacity from our storage segment than for the six months ended June 30, 2009, resulting in higher eliminations for revenue and operating expense.

#### General

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to higher salaries and wages resulting from increased headcount and benefit costs. However, compensation expense associated with our long-term incentive plans, which fluctuates with our unit price, declined during the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, partially offsetting the increase in salaries and wages.

Interest expense decreased \$3.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, mainly due to a lower balance on our revolving credit agreement and a lower variable interest rate paid on our interest rate swaps.

Other income, net consisted of the following:

	Six Months E	nded June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Thousands	of Dollars)
Gain from insurance proceeds(Loss) gain from sale or disposition of	\$ 13,500	\$ 8,895
assets	(688)	21,050
Foreign exchange losses	(234)	(2,443)
Other	2,539	342
Other income, net	\$ <u>15,117</u>	\$ <u>27,844</u>

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the gain from insurance proceeds results from insurance claims related to damage caused by Hurricane Ike primarily at our Texas City, Texas terminal in the third quarter of 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the gain from the sale or disposition of assets includes a gain of \$21.4 million related to the June 15, 2009 sale of the Ardmore-Wynnewood pipeline in Oklahoma and the Trans-Texas pipeline.

Income tax expense decreased \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to the reversal of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance, partially offset by increased expense resulting from higher taxable income. The receipt of \$13.5 million in insurance proceeds related to Hurricane Ike and our acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc. caused us to reevaluate the valuation allowance recorded related to certain net operating loss carryforwards previously expected to expire unused.

## **OUTLOOK**

Overall, we expect our net income for 2010 to be comparable to 2009. Our outlook could change depending on the pace of the economic recovery, or other factors that affect overall demand for the products we store, transport and sell.

#### **Transportation Segment**

Excluding the effect of pipeline sales that occurred in 2009, we expect throughputs for the full year and the last half of 2010 to increase slightly over the same periods in 2009 primarily due to higher refinery utilization rates. The tariffs on our pipelines regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, which adjust annually based upon changes in the producer price index, were reduced by 1.3 percent effective July 1, 2010, which is expected to result in lower revenue per barrel in the last half of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Our tariff rate for the full year 2010 will be slightly higher than 2009 due to the 7.6 percent increase in tariff rates effective July 1, 2009. These higher revenues are expected to be offset by higher operating expenses. We expect our transportation segment to produce full year 2010 segment results that are to be comparable to 2009.

#### Storage Segment

For the remainder of 2010, we expect our earnings for the storage segment to increase compared to the same period in 2009. The remainder of 2010 should benefit from higher renewal rates and incremental earnings from terminal acquisitions. Also, this segment should realize higher earnings from capital projects that were completed during 2009 as well as certain capital projects expected to be completed late in 2010. As a result, we expect the storage segment results for the full year 2010 to exceed 2009.

## Asphalt and Fuels Marketing Segment

We expect the asphalt and fuels marketing segment results to increase slightly for the full year and the remainder of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009 due mainly to the fuels marketing operations. Our fuels marketing operations should benefit from improved results from sales of bunker fuel and fuel oil as well as refined product trading. However, we expect the results from our asphalt operations to decline compared to last year. Soft demand, particularly in the private sector construction markets, is constraining asphalt prices. As a result, asphalt prices are struggling to keep up with rising crude costs, which puts downward pressure on margins.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### General

Our primary cash requirements are for distributions to partners, working capital requirements, including inventory purchases, debt service, capital expenditures, acquisitions and normal operating expenses. On an annual basis, we attempt to fund our operating expenses, interest expense, reliability capital expenditures and distribution requirements with cash generated from our operations. If we do not generate sufficient cash from operations to meet those requirements, we utilize available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit agreement and, to the extent necessary, funds raised through equity or debt offerings under our shelf registration statement. Additionally, we typically fund our strategic capital expenditures from external sources, primarily borrowings under our revolving credit agreement or funds available under our shelf registration statement. However, our ability to raise funds by issuing debt or equity depends on many factors beyond our control. The volatility of the capital and credit markets could restrict our ability to issue debt or equity or may increase our cost of capital beyond rates acceptable to us.

### Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

The following table summarizes our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities:

	Six Months E	nded June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Thousands	s of Dollars)
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 13,653	\$ (68,638)
Investing activities	(150,278)	(29,694)
Financing activities	107,802	104,963
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	1,371	2,719
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ <u>(27,452</u> )	\$ <u>9,350</u>

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$13.7 million, compared to a use of cash of \$68.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, primarily due to lower investments in working capital in 2010. We increased our working capital \$175.5 million in 2010, compared to \$239.1 million in 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, cash from operating activities, proceeds from long-term and short-term debt borrowings, net of repayments, our issuance of common units and cash on hand were used to fund our distributions to unitholders and our general partner and capital expenditures primarily related to various terminal projects and an acquisition. The capital expenditures were primarily related to projects at our St. Eustatius and Texas City terminals and our corporate office. Cash flows from investing activities also include insurance proceeds of \$13.5 million related to damages caused by Hurricane Ike in the third quarter of 2008 primarily at our Texas City terminal.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net proceeds from long-term debt borrowings and proceeds from asset sales and insurance claims, combined with cash on hand, were used to fund our distributions to unitholders and our general partner, capital expenditures and increased working capital requirements. The capital expenditures were primarily related to a pipeline expansion on the southern end of the East Pipeline and projects at our Texas City terminal. Cash flows from investing activities also include insurance proceeds of \$10.2 million related to damages caused by Hurricane Ike in the third quarter of 2008 primarily at our Texas City terminal.

## 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$606.1 million available for borrowing under our \$1.2 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement). Due to a covenant in our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement that requires us to maintain, as of the end of each four consecutive fiscal quarters, a consolidated debt coverage ratio not to exceed 5.00-to-1.00, we may not be able to borrow the maximum available amount. As of June 30, 2010, the consolidated debt coverage ratio was 4.5x. The 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement matures in December 2012, and we do not have any other significant debt maturing until 2012.

## Shelf Registration Statement

On May 13, 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission declared effective our shelf registration statement on Form S-3, which permits us to offer and sell various types of securities, including NuStar Energy common units and debt securities of NuStar Logistics and NuPOP (the 2010 Shelf Registration Statement). We filed the 2010 Shelf Registration Statement to replace our three-year 2007 Shelf Registration Statement, which was effective May 18, 2007.

If the capital markets become more volatile, our access to the capital markets may be limited, or we could face increased costs. In addition, it is possible that our ability to access the capital markets may be limited by these or other factors at a time when we would like or need to do so, which could have an impact on our ability to refinance maturing debt and/or react to changing economic and business conditions.

#### **Issuance of Common Units**

On May 19, 2010, we issued 4,400,000 common units representing limited partner interests at a price of \$56.55 per unit. We used the net proceeds from this offering of \$245.4 million, including a contribution of \$5.1 million from our general partner to maintain its 2% general partner interest, mainly to reduce outstanding borrowings under our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement and for the acquisition of Asphalt Holdings, Inc.

#### Capital Requirements

Our operations are capital intensive, requiring significant investments to maintain, upgrade or enhance existing operations and to comply with environmental and safety laws and regulations. Our capital expenditures consist of:

- reliability capital expenditures, such as those required to maintain equipment reliability and safety and to address environmental and safety regulations; and
- strategic capital expenditures, such as those to expand and upgrade pipeline capacity or asphalt refinery
  operations and to construct new pipelines, terminals and storage tanks. In addition, strategic capital
  expenditures may include acquisitions of pipelines, terminals or storage tank assets, as well as certain
  capital expenditures related to support functions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, our reliability capital expenditures totaled \$24.5 million, including \$21.3 million primarily related to maintenance upgrade projects at our terminals and refineries. Strategic capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$96.4 million and were primarily related to projects at our St. Eustatius and Texas City terminals and our corporate office.

For the full year 2010, we expect to incur approximately \$310.0 million of capital expenditures, including \$50.0 million for reliability capital projects and \$260.0 million for strategic capital projects. We continue to evaluate our capital budget and make changes as economic conditions warrant. Depending upon current economic conditions, our actual capital expenditures for 2010 may exceed or be lower than the budgeted amounts. We believe cash generated from operations, combined with other sources of liquidity previously described, will be sufficient to fund our capital expenditures in 2010, and our internal growth projects can be accelerated or scaled back depending on the capital markets.

#### Working Capital Requirements

The asphalt and fuels marketing segment requires us to make substantial investments in working capital. Increases in commodity prices could cause our working capital requirements to increase, which could affect our liquidity. Our working capital requirements will vary with the seasonal nature of asphalt demand as we build and store inventories during periods of lower demand in order to sell it during periods of higher demand. This seasonal nature of demand will also affect the accounts receivable and accounts payable balances, which will vary depending on timing of payments.

## Distributions

In April 2010, we declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.065 per unit that was paid on May 14, 2010 to unitholders of record on May 7, 2010. This distribution related to the first quarter of 2010 and totaled \$73.4 million. On August 2, 2010, we announced a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.065 per unit related to the second quarter of 2010. This distribution will be paid on August 13, 2010 to unitholders of record on August 6, 2010 and will total \$78.8 million.

The following table reflects the allocation of total cash distributions to the general and limited partners applicable to the period in which the distributions were earned:

	<b>Three Months</b>	Ended June 30,	Six Months 1	Ended June 30,
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Tho	ousands of Dollars,	Except Per Unit	Data)
General partner interest	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,318	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,636
General partner incentive distribution	8,369	6,929	16,168	13,858
Total general partner distribution	9,945	8,247	19,211	16,494
Limited partners' distribution	68,809	<u>57,591</u>	132,935	115,182
Total cash distributions	\$ <u>78,754</u>	\$ <u>65,838</u>	\$ <u>152,146</u>	\$ <u>131,676</u>
Cash distributions per unit applicable				
to limited partners	\$ <u>1.0650</u>	\$ <u>1.0575</u>	\$ <u>2.1300</u>	\$ <u>2.1150</u>

Distributions declared for the quarter are paid within 45 days following the end of each quarter based on the partnership interests outstanding as of a record date that is set after the end of each quarter.

## Long-Term Debt Obligations

We are a party to the following long-term debt agreements:

- the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement due December 10, 2012, with a balance of \$546.1 million as of June 30, 2010:
- NuStar Logistics' 6.875% senior notes due July 15, 2012 with a face value of \$100.0 million, 6.05% senior notes due March 15, 2013 with a face value of \$229.9 million and 7.65% senior notes due April 15, 2018 with a face value of \$350.0 million;
- NuPOP's 7.75% senior notes due February 15, 2012 and 5.875% senior notes due June 1, 2013 with an aggregate face value of \$500.0 million;
- the \$55.4 million revenue bonds due June 1, 2038 associated with the St. James terminal expansion (Gulf Opportunity Zone Revenue Bonds);
- the £21 million term loan due December 11, 2012 (UK Term Loan); and
- the \$12.0 million note payable in annual installments through December 31, 2015 to the Port of Corpus Christi Authority of Nueces County, Texas, with a balance of \$3.5 million as of June 30, 2010, associated with the construction of a crude oil storage facility in Corpus Christi, Texas.

On July 15, 2010, the Parish of St. James, where our St. James, Louisiana, terminal is located, issued \$100.0 million of Revenue Bonds (NuStar Logistics, L.P. Project) Series 2010 associated with our St. James terminal expansion pursuant to the Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005. The bonds mature on July 1, 2040. The interest rate is based on a weekly tax-exempt bond market interest rate and is paid monthly. NuStar Logistics is solely obligated to service the principal and interest payments associated with the bonds. One of the lenders under our 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement issued a letter of credit in the amount of \$101.3 million on our behalf, to guarantee the payment of interest and principal on the bonds.

Management believes that, as of June 30, 2010, we are in compliance with all ratios and covenants of both the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement and the UK Term Loan, which has substantially the same covenants as the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement. Our other long-term debt obligations do not contain any financial covenants that are different than those contained in the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement. However, a default under any of our debt instruments would be considered an event of default under all of our debt instruments.

### Interest Rate Swaps

As of June 30, 2010, the weighted-average interest rate for our interest rate swaps was 2.4%. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the aggregate estimated fair value of the interest rate swaps included in "Other long-term assets, net" in our consolidated balance sheets was \$11.7 million and \$8.6 million, respectively.

## **Commitments**

On June 18, 2010, we entered into a five-year lease to begin in 2011 for marine vessels, which will be used in our asphalt operations and represents an aggregate commitment of approximately \$41.0 million.

## Environmental, Health and Safety

We are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental and safety laws and regulations, including those relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, waste management, pollution prevention measures, pipeline integrity and operator qualifications, among others. Because more stringent environmental and safety laws and regulations are continuously being enacted or proposed, the level of future expenditures required for environmental, health and safety matters is expected to increase.

## **Contingencies**

We are subject to certain loss contingencies, the outcomes of which could have an adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations, as further disclosed in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. "Financial Statements."

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

## Interest Rate Risk

We manage our debt by considering various financing alternatives available in the market, and we manage our exposure to changing interest rates principally through the use of a combination of fixed-rate debt and variable-rate debt. In addition, we utilize interest rate swap agreements to manage a portion of the exposure to changing interest rates by converting certain fixed-rate debt to variable-rate debt. Borrowings under the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement and Gulf Opportunity Zone Revenue Bonds expose us to increases in the benchmark interest rate.

The following table provides information about our long-term debt and interest rate derivative instruments, all of which are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For long-term debt, principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates are presented. For interest rate swaps, the table presents notional amounts and weighted-average interest rates by expected (contractual) maturity dates. Weighted-average variable rates are based on implied forward interest rates in the yield curve at the reporting date.

_						Jun	e 30	, 2010					
_					Expected M	Iaturity Da	tes						
	2	<u> 2010</u>		<u>2011</u>	2012	2013		<u>014</u>	There- after	_	<u>Total</u>		<u>Fair</u> Value
					(Thous	ands of Doll	ars, I	Except I	nterest Ra	tes)			
Long-term Debt:	Φ.		Φ.	000	<b># 202 200</b>	<b>4.00.00</b>	Φ.	40	<b>4.27</b> 0.00		4.044.050	Φ.4	225 520
Fixed rate Weighted average	\$		\$		\$ 382,300	\$ 480,902	\$	48			1,214,852	\$ 1,	,327,728
interest rate		8.0%		8.0%	7.4%	6.0%		8.0%	7.79		6.9%		
Variable rate Weighted average	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 546,148	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 55,44	) \$	,	\$	580,032
interest rate		-		-	0.9%	-		-	0.29	ó	0.8%		
Interest Rate Swaps Fixed to Variable:													
Notional amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 60,000	\$ 107,500	\$	-	\$	- \$	167,500	\$	11,747
rate Weighted average		2.6%		3.0%	3.7%	4.1%		-		-	3.3%		
receive rate		6.3%		6.3%	6.3%	6.1%		-		-	6.3%		
						Decen	ıber	31, 2009	9				
					Expected M			31, 2009	9				
					_		tes	,	9 There-	<u> </u>			 Fair
		2010		<u>2011</u>	2012		tes 2	014	There- after	tes)	<u>Total</u>		Fair <u>Value</u>
Long-term Debt:					2012 (Thous	<b>Iaturity Da 2013</b> ands of Doll	tes 2 ars, l	014	There- after nterest Ra			7	<u>Value</u>
Long-term Debt: Fixed rate Weighted average		770	\$	832	2012	<b>2013</b> ands of Doll \$480,902	tes 2 ars, l	014	There- after		<b>Total</b> 1,217,387	7	
Fixed rate Weighted average interest rate	\$		·		2012 (Thous \$ 384,816 7.4%	<b>2013</b> ands of Doll \$480,902 6.0%	tes <u>2</u> ars, 1	014 Except I	Thereafter nterest Ra \$ 350,00	5	1,217,387	\$ 1,	,306,301
Fixed rate Weighted average	\$	770	\$	832	2012 (Thous	<b>2013</b> ands of Doll \$480,902 6.0%	tes 2 ars, l	014 Except I	There- <u>after</u> nterest Ra  \$ 350,000  7.79  \$ 56,200	) \$ 5 ) \$	1,217,387 6.9% 581,326	\$ 1,	<u>Value</u>
Fixed rate	\$	770 8.0%	·	832 8.0%	2012 (Thous \$ 384,816 7.4%	<b>2013</b> ands of Doll \$480,902 6.0%	tes <u>2</u> ars, 1	014 Except I 67 8.0%	Thereafter nterest Ra \$ 350,00	) \$ 5 ) \$	1,217,387	\$ 1,	,306,301
Fixed rate	\$	770 8.0%	·	832 8.0%	2012 (Thous \$ 384,816 7.4% \$ 525,126 1.0%	2013 ands of Doll \$ 480,902 6.0% \$ -	**************************************	014 Except I 67 8.0%	There- <u>after</u> nterest Ra  \$ 350,000  7.79  \$ 56,200	) \$ 5 ) \$	1,217,387 6.9% 581,326 0.9%	\$ 1,	,306,301 551,072
Fixed rate	\$	770 8.0%	\$	832 8.0%	2012 (Thous \$ 384,816 7.4% \$ 525,126	<b>2013</b> ands of Doll \$480,902 6.0%	**************************************	014 Except I 67 8.0%	There- after nterest Ra \$ 350,00  7.79 \$ 56,20  0.29	) \$ 5 ) \$	1,217,387 6.9% 581,326 0.9%	\$ 1,	,306,301
Fixed rate	\$ \$	770	\$	832 8.0%	2012 (Thous \$ 384,816 7.4% \$ 525,126 1.0%	2013 ands of Doll \$ 480,902 6.0% \$ -	**************************************	014 Except I 67 8.0%	There- after nterest Ra \$ 350,00  7.79 \$ 56,20  0.29	0 \$ 6 \$	1,217,387 6.9% 581,326 0.9%	\$ 1, \$	,306,301 551,072

### Commodity Price Risk

Since the operations of our asphalt and fuels marketing segment expose us to commodity price risk, we enter into derivative instruments to mitigate the effect of commodity price fluctuations. The derivative instruments we use consist primarily of futures contracts and swaps traded on the NYMEX. We have a risk management committee that oversees our trading controls and procedures and certain aspects of risk management. Our risk management committee also reviews all new risk management strategies in accordance with our risk management policy, which was approved by our board of directors.

We record commodity derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheets as assets or liabilities at fair value based on quoted market prices. We recognize mark-to-market adjustments for derivative instruments designated and qualifying as fair value hedges (Fair Value Hedges) and the related change in the fair value of the associated hedged physical inventory or firm commitment within "Cost of product sales." For derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges (Cash Flow Hedges), we record the effective portion of mark-to-market adjustments as a component of "Accumulated other comprehensive income" until the underlying hedged forecasted transactions occur and are recognized in income. For derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting (Economic Hedges and Other Derivatives), we record the mark-to-market adjustments in "Cost of product sales."

The commodity contracts disclosed below represent only those contracts exposed to commodity price risk at the end of the period. Please refer to Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statement in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplemental Data" for the volume and related fair value of all commodity contracts.

	June 30, 2010						
	Contract <u>Volumes</u> (Thousands of Barrels)	<u>Pa</u>	<u>Weighte</u> y <u>Price</u>		age ive Price	Asset (The	Value of urrent (Liability) ousands of Oollars)
Fair Value Hedges:	,						,
Futures – long:							
(crude oil and refined products)	120	\$	81.34		N/A	\$	106
Futures – short:							
(crude oil and refined products)	1,277		N/A	\$	90.29	\$	3,170
Swaps – long:	20	Φ.	65 F6		NT / A	Φ.	10
(refined products)	38	\$	65.56		N/A	\$	10
Swaps – short:	404		NT/A	¢.	65.05	Φ	260
(refined products)	404		N/A	\$	65.95	\$	269
Cash Flow Hedges:							
Futures – short:							
(refined products)	244		N/A	\$	94.17	\$	1,177
Options – puts:				·		·	,
(natural gas)	55		N/A	\$	18.85	\$	25
Options – calls:							
(natural gas)	55	\$	34.51		N/A	\$	(5)
Economic Hedges and Other Derivatives: Futures – long:							
(crude oil and refined products)	90	\$	71.63		N/A	\$	(391)
Futures – short:	70	Ψ	71.03		11/11	Ψ	(3)1)
(crude oil and refined products)	387		N/A	\$	72.40	\$	1,177
Swaps – long:				*		Ť	-,
(refined products)	37	\$	68.33		N/A	\$	(77)
Swaps – short:							,
(refined products)	86		N/A	\$	63.90	\$	166
Forward purchase contracts:							
(crude oil)	1,508	\$	79.95		N/A	\$	(5,049)
Forward sales contracts:							
(crude oil)	1,508		N/A	\$	79.71	\$	4,952
Total fair value of open positions exposed to commodity price							
						Φ	5,530
risk						Ф	<i>5,550</i>

			Decer	nber 31	, 2009		
	Contract Weighted Average					r Value of Current	
	Volumes	Pa	<u>y Price</u>	Rece	<u>ive Price</u>		(Liability)
	(Thousands					`	ousands of
E.S. Vales Halans	of Barrels)					1	Oollars)
Fair Value Hedges: Futures – short:							
	1 104		N/A	\$	79.89	\$	(0.529)
(refined products)	1,184		N/A	Ф	19.89	Ф	(9,528)
Cash Flow Hedges:							
Futures – short:							
(refined products)	230		N/A	\$	94.13	\$	(240)
<b>Economic Hedges and Other</b>							
<b>Derivatives:</b>							
Futures – long:							
(crude oil and refined products)	454	\$	81.46		N/A	\$	2,327
Futures – short:							
(crude oil and refined products)	745		N/A	\$	72.90	\$ (	10,692)
Swaps – long:							
(crude oil and refined products)	200	\$	70.34		N/A	\$	398
Swaps – short:							
(crude oil and refined products)	600		N/A	\$	70.16	\$_	<u>(1,316</u> )
Total fair value of open positions							
exposed to commodity price							
risk						\$ <u>(</u>	<u>19,051</u> )

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management has evaluated, with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of NuStar GP, LLC, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report, and has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II - OTHER INFORMATION Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information below describes new proceedings or material developments in proceedings that we previously reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as well as our subsequent quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

Eres Matter. In August 2008, Eres N.V. (Eres) forwarded a demand for arbitration to CITGO Asphalt Refining Company (CARCO), CITGO Petroleum Corporation (CITGO), NuStar Asphalt Refining, LLC (NuStar Asphalt) and NuStar Marketing LLC (NuStar Marketing, and together with CARCO, CITGO and NuStar Asphalt, the Defendants) contending that the Defendants are in breach of a tanker voyage charter party agreement, dated November 2004, between Eres and CARCO (the Charter Agreement). The Charter Agreement provides for CARCO's use of Eres' vessels for the shipment of asphalt. Eres contends that NuStar Asphalt and/or NuStar Marketing (together, the NuStar Entities) assumed the Charter Agreement when NuStar Asphalt purchased the CARCO assets, and that the Defendants have failed to perform under the Charter Agreement since January 1, 2008. Eres has valued its damages for the alleged breach of contract claim at approximately \$78.1 million. Pursuant to a May 2010 ruling by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, the NuStar Entities were found to have assumed the Charter Agreement from CARCO and to be obligated to defend and indemnify CITGO and CARCO against Eres' claims. The Defendants were ordered to proceed with arbitration. We intend to vigorously defend against Eres' claims in arbitration.

EPA Investigation—Baltimore, Maryland facility. In September 2009, an administrative complaint was filed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) in Region III against NuStar Terminals Operations Partnership, L.P. (NTOP) and NuStar Terminals Services, Inc. (NTS). The administrative complaint alleged that certain violations occurred at NTOP's Baltimore, Maryland terminal facility. The alleged violations included failure to comply with certain discharge limitations and certain monitoring and reporting obligations, as required by Section 301 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311. The administrative complaint further alleged that NTOP and NTS violated certain provisions of the Code of Maryland Regulations, which the EPA is entitled to enforce on behalf of the State of Maryland pursuant to Section 3008(a) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6928(a). On June 16, 2010, a consent agreement was entered into by and between the EPA, NTOP, and NTS, pursuant to which we agreed to pay a penalty of \$90,000. A final order approving the consent agreement was entered by the EPA on June 16, 2010 and the payment was made in July 2010.

## Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporated by Reference to the Following Document
2.01	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 31, 2004, by and among Valero L.P., Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., Valero GP, LLC, VLI Sub A LLC and Kaneb Services LLC	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 4, 2004 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 99.1
2.02	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 31, 2004, by and among Valero L.P., Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., Valero GP, LLC, VLI Sub B LLC and Kaneb Pipe Line Partners, L.P. and Kaneb Pipe Line Company LLC	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 4, 2004 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 99.2
3.01	Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of Shamrock Logistics, L.P., effective January 1, 2002	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.3
3.02	Amendment to Certificate of Limited Partnership of Valero L.P., dated March 21, 2007 and effective April 1, 2007	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 27, 2007 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 3.01
3.03	Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Valero L.P., dated as of March 18, 2003	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.1
3.04	Amendment No. 1 to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Valero L.P., dated as of March 11, 2004	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.3
3.05	Amendment No. 2 to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Valero L.P., dated as of July 1, 2005	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.01
3.06	Amendment No. 3 to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NuStar Energy L.P., dated as of April 10, 2008	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 15, 2008 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 3.1
3.07	Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of Shamrock Logistics Operations, L.P., dated as of January 7, 2002	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.8
3.08	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Limited Partnership of Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., dated March 21, 2007 and effective April 1, 2007	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.03

3.09	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Shamrock Logistics Operations, L.P., dated as of April 16, 2001	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.9
3.10	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Shamrock Logistics Operations, L.P., effective as of April 16, 2001	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.1
3.11	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Shamrock Logistics Operations, L.P., dated as of January 7, 2002	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.10
3.12	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., dated June 5, 2000	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 14, 2000 (File No. 333-43668), Exhibit 3.7
3.13	First Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., dated as of April 16, 2001	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.16
3.14	Certificate of Formation of Shamrock Logistics GP, LLC, dated December 7, 1999	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 14, 2000 (File No. 333-43668), Exhibit 3.9
3.15	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of Shamrock Logistics GP, LLC, dated December 31, 2001	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.14
3.16	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of Valero GP, LLC, dated March 21, 2007 and effective April 1, 2007	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.02
3.17	First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Shamrock Logistics GP, LLC, dated as of June 5, 2000	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Amendment No. 5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed March 29, 2001 (File No. 333-43668), Exhibit 3.10
3.18	First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Shamrock Logistics GP, LLC, effective as of December 31, 2001	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 3.15
4.01	Indenture, dated as of July 15, 2002, among Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., as Issuer, Valero L.P., as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, relating to Senior Debt Securities	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 15, 2002 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 4.1

4.02	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 2002, to Indenture dated as of July 15, 2002, in each case among Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., as Issuer, Valero L.P., as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, relating to 6 7/8% Senior Notes due 2012	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 15, 2002 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 4.2
4.03	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 18, 2003, to Indenture dated as of July 15, 2002, as amended and supplemented by a First Supplemental Indenture thereto dated as of July 15, 2002, in each case among Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., as Issuer, Valero L.P., as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (including, form of global note representing \$250,000,000 6.05% Senior Notes due 2013)	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.1
4.04	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2005, to Indenture dated as of July 15, 2002, as amended and supplemented, among Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., Valero L.P., Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P., and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.02
4.05	Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance, dated March 31, 2008, among NuStar Logistics, L.P., NuStar Energy L.P., Kaneb Pipeline Operating Partnership, L.P., The Bank of New York Trust Company N.A., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.05
4.06	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 4, 2008, to Indenture dated July 15, 2002, among NuStar Logistics L.P., as issuer, NuStar Energy L.P., as guarantor, NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P., as affiliate guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as successor trustee	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2008 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 4.2
4.07	Indenture, dated as of February 21, 2002, between Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank (Senior Debt Securities)	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.03
4.08	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 21, 2002, to Indenture dated as of February 21, 2002, between Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank (including form of 7.750% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2012)	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.04

4.09	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 9, 2002 and effective as of April 4, 2002, to Indenture dated as of February 21, 2002, as amended and supplemented, between Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P., Statia Terminals Canada Partnership, and JPMorgan Chase Bank	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.05
4.10	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated and effective as of May 16, 2003, to Indenture dated as of February 21, 2002, as amended and supplemented, between Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P., Statia Terminals Canada Partnership, and JPMorgan Chase Bank	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.06
4.11	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated and effective as of May 27, 2003, to Indenture dated as of February 21, 2002, as amended and supplemented, between Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank (including form of 5.875% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2013)	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.07
4.12	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated and effective as of July 1, 2005, to Indenture dated as of February 21, 2002, as amended and supplemented, among Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership, L.P., Valero L.P., Valero Logistics Operations, L.P., and JPMorgan Chase Bank	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.08
4.13	Instrument of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance, dated June 30, 2008, among NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P., NuStar Energy L.P., NuStar Logistics, L.P., The Bank of New York Trust Company N.A., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 001- 16417), Exhibit 4.12
10.01	Lease Agreement, dated as of July, 2010, between Parish of St. James, State of Louisiana and NuStar Logistics, L.P.	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 21, 2010 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 10.01
10.02	Application for Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of July 15, 2010, between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and NuStar Logistics, L.P.	NuStar Energy L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 21, 2010 (File No. 001-16417), Exhibit 10.02
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	*
31.01	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification (under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) of principal executive officer	*

31.02	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification (under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) of principal financial officer	*
32.01	Section 1350 Certification (under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) of principal executive officer	*
32.02	Section 1350 Certification (under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) of principal financial officer	*
101	The following interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T from NuStar Energy L.P.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.	**

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

In accordance with Rule 406T of regulation S-T, the XBRL information in Exhibit 101 to this quarterly report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act. The financial information contained in the XBRL-related documents is "unaudited" or "unreviewed."

<sup>\*\*</sup> Filed electronically herewith.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## **NUSTAR ENERGY L.P.**

(Registrant)

By: Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., its general partner By: NuStar GP, LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Curtis V. Anastasio

Curtis V. Anastasio

**President and Chief Executive Officer** 

August 5, 2010

By: /s/ Steven A. Blank

Steven A. Blank

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

August 5, 2010

By: /s/ Thomas R. Shoaf

Thomas R. Shoaf

Vice President and Controller

August 5, 2010